

# Deciphering and Reversing Tumor Immune Suppression

Greg T. Motz<sup>1</sup> and George Coukos<sup>1,2,3,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Ovarian Cancer Research Center, University of Pennsylvania School of Medicine, Philadelphia, PA 19104, USA

<sup>2</sup>Ludwig Center for Cancer Research, University of Lausanne, CH-1066 Epalinges, Switzerland

<sup>3</sup>Department of Oncology, University of Lausanne, CH-1015 Lausanne, Switzerland

\*Correspondence: [george.coukos@chuv.ch](mailto:george.coukos@chuv.ch)

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2013.07.005>

Generating an anti-tumor immune response is a multi-step process that is executed by effector T cells that can recognize and kill tumor targets. However, tumors employ multiple strategies to attenuate the effectiveness of T-cell-mediated attack. They achieve this by interfering with nearly every step required for effective immunity, from deregulation of antigen-presenting cells to establishment of a physical barrier at the vasculature that prevents homing of effector tumor-rejecting cells and the suppression of effector lymphocytes through the recruitment and activation of immunosuppressive cells such as myeloid-derived suppressor cells, tolerogenic monocytes, and T regulatory cells. Here, we review the ways in which tumors exert immune suppression and highlight the new therapies that seek to reverse this phenomenon and promote anti-tumor immunity. Understanding anti-tumor immunity, and how it becomes disabled by tumors, will ultimately lead to improved immune therapies and prolonged survival of patients.

## Introduction


The immune response against tumors is mounted by a multitude of immune cells. However, T cells remain potent mediators of anti-tumor immunity, and tumor infiltration by T cells is a good prognostic marker in a number of tumor types, including ovarian, colon, breast, renal, prostate, and cervical cancers (Galon et al., 2006; Hwang et al., 2012; Ma et al., 2012; Naito et al., 1998; Piersma et al., 2007; Zhang et al., 2003). The steps leading to an antitumor immune response are depicted in Figure 1. In some patients, these responses are activated spontaneously, but chemotherapy is also thought to promote antitumor immune responses.

Typically, the immune response begins at the tumor site, where professional antigen-presenting cells (APCs) take up tumor antigens to be processed. These antigens can be some of the many mutational neo-antigens (Robbins et al., 2013), non-mutated genes that are overexpressed by cancer cells, or differentiation antigens related to the cancer's tissue of origin (Segal et al., 2008). Although T cell priming is traditionally thought to occur exclusively in tumor-draining lymph nodes, spontaneously organized tertiary lymphoid organ features can be also encountered in tumors (de Chaisemartin et al., 2011), suggesting that T cell education can occur within the tumor stroma. Dendritic cells from tumors might present antigens in a tolerizing manner, stimulating T regulatory (Treg) cells (Steinman et al., 2000), which would oppose an antitumor response. In order to promote immunity rather than tolerance, it is believed that APCs require a robust maturation signal. Toll-like receptor (TLR) signaling from necrotic tumor cells can induce partial maturation (Cavassani et al., 2008), but chemotherapy drugs that induce immunogenic cell death can also stimulate an immune response (Zitvogel and Kroemer, 2009). Activated dendritic cells can also drive B and NK cell (Mellman and Steinman, 2001) responses that can play important roles in antitumor immunity. The exact type of T cell response required for optimal anti-tumor immunity is not entirely clear, but a potent CD8<sup>+</sup> effector T cell response is certainly necessary. Additionally, a response directed by either CD4<sup>+</sup>

T helper 1 (Th1) cells or Th17 cells appears to promote CD8<sup>+</sup> effector T cell responses (Martin-Orozco et al., 2009; Mellman and Steinman, 2001; Steinman et al., 2000). Given that tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes (TILs) are such important prognostic markers for tumor progression across multiple tumor types, understanding the processes involved in their suppression is essential to developing new therapeutic strategies. In this review, we will detail the ways in which tumors suppress each step in the generation of an effective anti-tumor immune response; these range from generation of tumor-specific T cells to their homing, engraftment, and effective recognition of tumors. We also discuss recent and potential future therapeutic interventions that might circumvent tumor-mediated immunosuppression.

## Generation of Tumor-Reactive T Cells

Dendritic cells (DCs) are extremely important for the coordination of an anti-tumor immune response. As professional APCs, they present tumor antigens to both B cells and T cells, generating an antigen-specific antitumor response. Tumors have a profound effect on the functions of dendritic cells (Gabrilovich, 2004). Defective dendritic cell function is often combined with deregulation of DC maturation, and in humans as well as in mice, tumor-infiltrating cells expressing DC markers also express markers of macrophages and immature monocytes, indicating recruitment of myeloid precursors with incomplete differentiation (Conejo-Garcia et al., 2004). Dendritic cells can have significant heterogeneity both in vitro and in vivo (Hashimoto et al., 2011), and they include resident and bone-marrow-derived myeloid dendritic cells and plasmacytoid dendritic cells. These cells have different functional properties, and they might contribute differently to tumor tolerance or rejection (Kim et al., 2007). For example, although DCs are important APCs, depletion of CD11c<sup>+</sup> cells (primarily DCs) can actually inhibit tumor growth (Huarte et al., 2008), an effect that reflects the role of tumor-coopted tolerogenic dendritic cells in establishing tumor tolerance and dissemination (Labidi-Galy et al., 2011; Sawant et al., 2012).



**Figure 1. Generation of an Anti-Tumor T Cell Response**

Dendritic cells acquire tumor antigens from necrotic or apoptotic tumor cells and then home to regional lymph nodes. Within the lymph nodes, DCs activate T cells (and NK cells) and then traffic to the tumor site. Activated lymphocytes cross the tumor endothelial barrier, recognize tumor targets, secrete cytokines, and directly kill tumor targets. This process is under considerable suppression from the tumors, which mount challenges that prevent optimal T cell activation each step of the way. Within the tumor site, suppressive cells such as Treg cells and MDSCs are recruited by tumors and actively prevent lymphocytes from killing tumor targets.

Most tumor myeloid DCs present a phenotype of partially mature DCs expressing intermediate amounts of MHC class I and II and costimulatory molecules, as well as high amounts of coinhibitory molecules and immunosuppressive cytokines. In the mouse, such cells are unable to elicit antigen-specific effector T cells (Conejo-Garcia et al., 2004). Human DCs isolated from breast, neck and/or head, and lung cancer patients were also functionally impaired in a mixed leukocyte reaction, and this functional impairment corresponded to a more severe (higher stage) cancer diagnosis (Almand et al., 2000). Immature or incompletely matured DCs might mediate tumor tolerance, inducing anergy of effector T cells and/or expansion of Treg cells in the lymph nodes or at tumor sites (Lutz and Schuler, 2002; Mahnke et al., 2002).

Gabrilovich and colleagues were the first to identify vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) as a tumor factor capable of impairing both dendritic cell function and maturation from CD34<sup>+</sup> hematopoietic precursors (Gabrilovich et al., 1996). Similar observations of defective DCs have since been made in association with VEGF in cancer patients (Della Porta et al., 2005; Takahashi et al., 2004). VEGF is an important regulator of hematopoiesis, and its artificial overexpression has led to widespread changes in the differentiation of multiple hematopoietic lineages. In patients, treatment with the VEGF-blocking antibody bevacizumab


has been shown to reverse DC maturation defects (Almand et al., 2000; Fricke et al., 2007; Osada et al., 2008). Defective DC maturation that is reversible with VEGF blockade was also found in mouse models (Gabrilovich et al., 1999; Nair et al., 2003; Roland et al., 2009; Ishida et al., 1998). VEGF most likely exerts effects on dendritic cells beyond its role in the suppression of normal hematopoiesis. Programmed death ligand 1 (PD-L1) is a major negative regulatory ligand of the B7 family that engages the cognate programmed death-1 (PD-1) receptor that is expressed on activated T cells and which transduces a signal that inhibits T cell proliferation, cytokine production, and cytolytic function (Riley, 2009). PD-L1 is expressed on tumor cells, but it is also highly expressed on tumor-associated myeloid DCs in ovarian cancer patients (Curiel et al., 2003). Incubation of blood myeloid DCs with VEGF induced robust expression of PD-L1 on the cell surface, offering a potential mechanism by which VEGF might affect DC function (Curiel et al., 2003).

A number of other tumor-derived soluble mediators can also disrupt DC function and play critical roles in defining the semi-mature, tolerogenic phenotype of tumor DCs. Such mediators include transforming growth factor  $\beta$  (TGF $\beta$ ) (Geissmann et al., 1999), interleukin 10 (IL-10) (Steinbrink et al., 1999), macrophage colony-stimulating factor (M-CSF), and IL-6 (Menetrier-Caux et al., 1998). IL-10 also induces PD-L1 expression on DCs (Curiel et al., 2003). Additional mechanisms can contribute to a tolerogenic phenotype for DCs. Physiological stimuli such as hypoxia (Elia et al., 2008) and lactic acid (Gottfried et al., 2006) in the tumor microenvironment can also influence DC phenotype and function. In vitro, DCs differentiated under these exposures tend to have a less mature phenotype, express immunosuppressive molecules such as indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase (IDO) and prostaglandin E<sub>2</sub> (PGE<sub>2</sub>), and fail to stimulate T cells efficiently (Elia et al., 2008; Gabrilovich et al., 2012; Gottfried et al., 2006). In particular, PGE<sub>2</sub> signaling on DCs can induce the expression of immunosuppressive molecules such as IL-10 (Kaliński et al., 1997) and IDO (Braun et al., 2005), suppress IL-12 production (Watchmaker et al., 2010), and inhibit chemokine expression (Muthuswamy et al., 2010).

Thus, it is not surprising that in many patients there are no detectable tumor-reactive T cells. For example, in ovarian cancer, tumor-reactive T cells were detected in the peripheral blood of only half the patients tested (Schlienger et al., 2003). The defective phenotype of DCs might contribute further to deregulation of the T cell tumor attack; properly mature DCs that express costimulatory ligands might be required in the periphery at the inflammatory site to maintain an effective effector CD8<sup>+</sup> T cell response (Dolfi et al., 2011), and these are typically absent in the tumor microenvironment. Finally, defective DCs fail to secrete appropriate chemokines that play a critical role in recruiting effector cells to tumors (Muthuswamy et al., 2012). As described above, disruption of normal DC function is an essential component of tumor-mediated immune suppression that leads to tumor immune tolerance, and strategies aimed at relieving this immune suppression or generating potent DC-vaccines *ex vivo* are an active area of research that has already enjoyed some early success.

### Reaching the Tumor Site

Tumors have developed a number of unique ways to suppress the recruitment of T cells to the tumor site. The exact



**Figure 2. Tumor Endothelium in Immune Suppression**

(A) The endothelium is a physical barrier to T cell trafficking. During the normal inflammatory response,  $\text{TNF}\alpha$  upregulates adhesion molecules ICAM and VCAM. While rolling, T cells bind to the adhesion molecules through LFA-1 and VLA-1, then extravasate through the endothelium and home to the site of inflammation. In the tumor microenvironment, tumor-derived angiogenic growth factors such as VEGF and ET-1 signal through their cognate receptors

mechanisms have not been fully elucidated, but the disruption of normal chemokine elaboration is believed to be a contributing factor. Chemokines play a critical role in orchestrating T cell trafficking and the specialization of immune responses, and their alteration is probably tissue specific. Of particular interest is the observation that tumors with high numbers of T cells express high amounts of established T-cell-attracting chemokines, including chemokine (C-C motif) ligand 2 (CCL2), CCL3, CCL4, CCL5, chemokine (C-X-C motif) ligand 9 (CXCL9), and CXCL10 (Harlin et al., 2009). It is unclear whether this is the result of an initial T cell activation, unleashing a chemokine response furthering T cell recruitment. However, the pattern of T cell infiltration in mouse models suggests that a few T cells infiltrate tumors initially and that a large influx of both specific and nonspecific T cells follows (Boissonnas et al., 2007). In skin tumors, aberrant epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR)-Ras signaling has been shown to suppress the production of CCL27, a chemokine constitutively expressed by normal keratinocytes, and disruption of CCL27 expression in vivo prevented T cell homing to skin tumors and accelerated tumor outgrowth in a mouse model (Pivarcsi et al., 2007).

Tumor cells are well known for aberrant post-translational modifications, and changes in cleavage, glycosylation, or deamination could result in dramatically altered activities of expressed chemokines (Loos et al., 2009; Proost et al., 2007). For example, CCL2, an important chemokine for the recruitment of cytotoxic lymphocytes (CTLs) to the tumor site (Brown et al., 2007), undergoes nitrosylation induced by reactive nitrogen species, and this nitrosylation abrogates CCL2's ability to attract tumor-specific CTLs, although it can still attract myeloid cells (myeloid-derived suppressor cells; MDSCs) to the tumor (Molon et al., 2011), highlighting a potent mechanism of immune suppression. Furthermore, altered proteolytic processing of CXCL11, an important chemokine that recruits  $\text{CXCR3}^+$  effector T cells, can impair binding and signaling of the chemokine and ultimately reduce lymphocyte migration (Boissonnas et al., 2007; Harlin et al., 2009; Proost et al., 2007). Thus, deregulation of chemokine circuitries appears to be an important mechanism preventing proper orchestration of immune tumor-rejection mechanisms.

### Crossing the Tumor Vasculature

Although T cells can accumulate in the tumor stroma, they often fail to penetrate deeply into tumors in the epithelial compartment (Zhang et al., 2003; Boon et al., 2006; Dudley et al., 2002; Lurquin et al., 2005). The vascular endothelium plays a key role in leukocyte trafficking, and mounting evidence indicates that the tumor vasculature establishes an active barrier that tumor-reactive T cells must cross in order to recognize and eliminate their tumor targets (Figure 2) (Boon et al., 2006; Dudley et al., 2002; Lurquin

on the endothelium and block the expression of adhesion molecules, preventing T cell infiltration.

(B) The endothelium is a direct modulator of immune suppression. Under the influence of tumor- and stroma-derived factors (e.g., VEGF), the tumor endothelium expresses a number of immunosuppressive molecules such as TIM-3, IDO, PDL1/2, and PGE2. The expression of these molecules limits effector T cell activation. Furthermore, the endothelium can also express a number of genes (e.g., TRAIL) that can directly kill effector T cells as they attempt to transverse the endothelial barrier.

et al., 2005). Successful transmigration through the tumor endothelial barrier is required for optimal tumor regression. The prohibitive nature of the tumor endothelium can be mediated by the type and quantity of adhesion molecules expressed (Zitvogel et al., 2006) and is presumably maintained by local soluble tumor factors (Zitvogel et al., 2006), but precisely how the tumor vasculature establishes immune privilege is not well known.

T cells extravasate through the endothelium to the tumor in multi-step process that includes rolling and adhesion to endothelial cells and subsequent diapedesis. Although the precise mechanisms are not entirely known, the consensus view is that in the tumor microenvironment angiogenic molecules, including VEGF, inhibit adhesion molecule expression on endothelial cells (Bouzin et al., 2007; Detmar et al., 1998; Dirkx et al., 2003; Griffioen et al., 1996a; Griffioen et al., 1996b; Min et al., 2005). Tumor necrosis factor- $\alpha$  (TNF $\alpha$ ) is often expressed within the tumor microenvironment and is expressed, albeit in low amounts, by many malignant cells (Balkwill, 2009). Although TNF $\alpha$  is an activator of endothelial cells and T cell adhesion, in the presence of angiogenic growth factors such as basic fibroblast growth factor (bFGF) or VEGF (Bouzin et al., 2007; Griffioen et al., 1996b), TNF $\alpha$ -induced T cell adhesion is minimal. In the presence of VEGF, TNF $\alpha$  stimulation is unable to induce expression of intercellular adhesion molecule-1 (ICAM-1) and vascular cell adhesion molecule (VCAM-1) on endothelial cells (Bouzin et al., 2007; Griffioen et al., 1996b).

Endothelins and their receptors are upregulated in a number of cancers, including ovarian, breast, renal, colon, and prostate cancer (Bagnato and Rosanò, 2008; Nelson et al., 2003). Endothelin (ET) peptide ligands ET-1, -2, -3, and -4 (Saida et al., 1989; Yanagisawa and Masaki, 1989) are potent regulators of endothelial cell biology and are involved in autocrine and paracrine loops that promote proliferation, protection, from apoptosis, angiogenesis, vasculogenesis, and invasion and metastatic dissemination of tumors (Bagnato and Rosanò, 2008; Nelson et al., 2003). Endothelins signal through two G-protein-coupled receptors (GPCR), the endothelin-A receptor (ET<sub>A</sub>R) and ET<sub>B</sub>R, which exert opposite effects on the vasculature (Frommer and Müller-Ladner, 2008; Meidan and Levy, 2007). The use of specific endothelin receptor antagonists has been demonstrated to slow tumor growth in patients and to prevent tumor growth in mouse models (Bagnato and Rosanò, 2008; Nelson et al., 2003). Endothelins interact with VEGF to regulate multiple aspects of angiogenesis, including endothelial cell proliferation, migration, invasion, vessel formation, and neovascularization (Nelson et al., 2003). ET-1 can directly promote the expression of VEGF in cancer cell lines in vitro (Rosanò et al., 2003; Salani et al., 2000; Spinella et al., 2007; Spinella et al., 2002), most likely through increased HIF-1 $\alpha$  expression (Salani et al., 2000). Furthermore, there is a correlation between ET<sub>B</sub>R and VEGF expression in a number of different tumor specimens (Kato et al., 2001). In addition to regulating vascular tension and angiogenesis, ET<sub>B</sub>R was recently demonstrated to suppress endothelial adhesion and T cell infiltration in tumors (Buckanovich et al., 2008). Endothelial ET<sub>B</sub>R was upregulated in ovarian tumors lacking intraepithelial tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes (TILs) (Buckanovich et al., 2008) and, similar to the absence of intraepithelial TILs (Zhang et al., 2003), ET<sub>B</sub>R overexpression was associated with poor survival. Endothelin 1 (ET1) signaling through ET<sub>B</sub>R

was found to block T cell adhesion to the endothelium through suppression of ICAM-1 clustering on endothelial cell membranes (Buckanovich et al., 2008). ET1 is overexpressed in ovarian cancer (Bagnato et al., 1999), establishing a paracrine axis involving tumor cells, endothelium ET1, and ET<sub>B</sub>R expressed by the endothelium; this axis is upregulated specifically in the tumor microenvironment and suppresses T cell adhesion to endothelium, even in the presence of increased TNF $\alpha$ .

The immunosuppressive effects of VEGF as well as those of ET<sub>B</sub>R on tumor endothelium appear to be commonly mediated by nitric oxide (NO) given that specific NO antagonists can abrogate the deregulation of CAMs induced by either molecule and restore T cell adhesion (Buckanovich et al., 2008; Bouzin et al., 2007). NO is a gaseous molecule and a highly reactive free radical. NO is highly important in the control of vascular functions regulating angiogenesis, vascular permeability, blood flow, and leukocyte-endothelial interactions (Fukumura et al., 2006). In tumors, inhibition of the NO-producing enzyme, nitric oxide synthase (NOS), enhanced both rolling and adhesion of leukocytes on tumor vasculature (Fukumura et al., 1997). Although this effect might be due in part to direct effects of NO on T cells (Lukacs-Kornek et al., 2011; Bronte et al., 2005), NO also directly affects endothelial cells in a manner that might limit anti-tumor immunity. NO reduces leukocyte-endothelial interactions by reducing the expression of important adhesion molecules P-selectin, ICAM-1, and VCAM-1, and conversely, NOS inhibitors increase expression of these adhesion molecules and leukocyte binding in normal blood vessels (De Caterina et al., 1995; Davenport et al., 1994; Kubes et al., 1991). Furthermore, mice genetically deficient in the enzymes responsible for NO synthesis displayed enhanced leukocyte-endothelial interactions driven by P-selectin (Lefer et al., 1999).

It is emerging that the tumor vasculature also can shape the nature of T cell infiltration in tumors through mechanisms independent of adhesive interactions. Endothelial cells can, for example, express a number of mediators that suppress or kill effector lymphocytes. Such mediators include Fas ligand (FasL) (Sata and Walsh, 1998), TNF-related apoptosis-inducing ligand (TRAIL) (Secchiero and Zauli, 2008), and possibly even CD31, a classical endothelial cell marker (Ma et al., 2010). Tumor endothelial cells can also express a robust repertoire of immunosuppressive molecules, both soluble and surface expressed, including PD-L1 and PD-L2 (Frebel et al., 2012; Mazanet and Hughes, 2002; Rodig et al., 2003), B7-H3 (Zang et al., 2010), TIM-3 (Huang et al., 2010), IL-10, TGF $\beta$ , and PGE<sub>2</sub> (Hernández et al., 2001; Pirtskhalaishvili and Nelson, 2000). Metabolic disruption of T cells through IDO expression (Batista et al., 2009; Blaschitz et al., 2011; Riesenberger et al., 2007) and arginase I (Fu et al., 2011) by endothelial cells has also been suggested, but whether these mechanisms are active in tumors are unknown. Thus, the function of tumor endothelial cells is largely immunosuppressive and is maintained by tumor cells through paracrine mechanisms (Mulligan et al., 2009; Mulligan and Young, 2010).

### Negotiating the Tumor Stroma Space and Suppressive Leukocytes

The effector T cells that manage to cross the endothelial barrier must navigate the tumor stroma until they encounter tumor cell



targets. Many opportunities for encountering immunosuppressive signals exist within this space. Although resident stromal and immune cells can certainly contribute to immune suppression within the tumor microenvironment (Aggarwal and Pittenger, 2005; Dranoff and Fearon, 2013; Engels et al., 2012; Kammertoens et al., 2005; Motz and Coukos, 2011), immunosuppressive leukocyte populations such as Treg cells (Facciabene et al., 2012) and MDSCs (Gabrilovich and Nagaraj, 2009) are also actively recruited and undergo local expansion to effectively suppress T cell effector functions. Infiltration of these cells types is frequently associated with a poor prognosis.


CD4<sup>+</sup>CD25<sup>+</sup>FoxP3<sup>+</sup> Treg cells can be divided into natural Treg (nTreg) cells, which are derived from the thymus and maintained peripherally by TGF $\beta$ , and inducible Treg (iTreg) cells, which are induced from naive CD4<sup>+</sup> T cell precursors and exert suppressive functions similar to those observed for nTreg cells; these functions are controlled in both Treg subtypes by the forkhead box P3 (FoxP3) transcription factor (Curotto de Lafaille and Lafaille, 2009). Several studies have determined that Treg cells that accumulate within tumors are generally clonally restricted (Sainz-Perez et al., 2012) and are specific for tumor antigens (Bonertz et al., 2009); they express different T cell receptor (TCR) sequences than local effector T cells (Sainz-Perez et al., 2012). Treg cells are thus activated in an antigen-specific manner but can suppress T cell function nonspecifically through several mechanisms (Facciabene et al., 2012; Vignali et al., 2008). Treg cells secrete soluble mediators such as TGF $\beta$ , IL-10, and IL-35, which can suppress effector T cell expansion and cytokine (IFN $\gamma$ , TNF $\alpha$ ) secretion. Specifically, TGF $\beta$  and IL-10 have been shown experimentally to make significant contributions to tumor progression by attenuating antitumor immunity (Loser et al., 2007; Strauss et al., 2007). Although IL-2 signaling on Treg cells is not required for their suppressive function, Treg cells require IL-2 support to maintain metabolic homeostasis and their competitive fitness in vivo, (Fontenot et al., 2005). Thus, Treg cells depend on paracrine support from T effector cells, which secrete IL-2, for expanding and maintaining local tolerance. It is also believed that because of higher expression of the IL-2 receptor alpha (IL-2R $\alpha$ , also known as CD25), Treg cells can act as an IL-2 sink, "starving" effector T cells of IL-2 particularly within the tumor microenvironment and thus limiting effector T cell expansion and function (Pandiyani et al., 2007). In addition, Treg cells can suppress CTLs through the generation of adenosine (Deaglio et al., 2007). Treg cells can also directly kill T cells via cytotoxicity mediated by TRAIL (Ren et al., 2007) or granzyme B (Gondek et al., 2005). Finally, Treg cells can engage in crosstalk with DCs, inducing the expression of IDO, IL-10, and TGF $\beta$  expression from DCs through direct interactions (Facciabene et al., 2012).

Treg cells are actively recruited by tumors, and their accumulation has been associated with poor prognosis in some studies (Curiel et al., 2004). Chemokine ligand CCL22 is a potent Treg chemoattractant expressed in high amounts by both tumor cells and tumor macrophages recruiting Treg through C-C chemokine receptor 4 (CCR4) in ovarian cancer (Curiel et al., 2004) and Hodgkin's lymphoma (Ishida et al., 2006). Recently, a link between Treg recruitment and hypoxia was discovered in ovarian cancer. Hypoxic ovarian cancer cells were shown to preferentially upregulate CCL28 among all chemokines (through

hypoxia-inducible factor), and this CCL28 upregulation recruited CD4<sup>+</sup>CD25<sup>+</sup>FoxP3<sup>+</sup> Treg cells through the cognate receptor CCR10 expressed preferentially on Treg cells among T cell subsets (Facciabene et al., 2011). Forced overexpression of CCL28 in mouse ovarian cancer cells led to enhanced growth of intraperitoneal tumors, characterized by increased Treg infiltration and accelerated tumor growth (Facciabene et al., 2011). Of importance, depletion of CD25<sup>+</sup> or CCR10<sup>+</sup> cells eliminated Treg cells from CCL28-overexpressing tumors and abrogated the tumor growth advantage conferred by CCL28 overexpression. It was found that besides immune suppression, CCL28-recruited Treg cells reprogrammed the tumor microenvironment toward angiogenesis (Facciabene et al., 2011). Recruitment of Treg cells to areas of hypoxia could enhance their immunosuppressive capacity because hypoxia-exposed Tregs are more effective at suppressing the proliferation of effector T cells (Ben-Shoshan et al., 2008).

In addition to recruiting nTreg cells, the tumor microenvironment promotes the continued expansion of nTreg cells (Valzasina et al., 2006) as well as the generation of iTreg cells (Liu et al., 2007). It is believed that IL-10 (Seo et al., 2001), TGF $\beta$  (Chen et al., 2003), and adenosine (Zarek et al., 2008) derived from either tumor cells or tumor-resident immunosuppressive DCs (Ghiringhelli et al., 2005) and Tie-2<sup>+</sup> monocytes (TEMs) (Cofelt et al., 2011; Liu et al., 2007) might be responsible for this. Furthermore, TEMs can expand Treg cells in vitro in a CD86-dependent manner, and in breast cancer patients TEMs expressing high amounts of CD86 are associated with high numbers of Treg cells (Ibberson et al., 2013). CD86 expression in TEMs is dependent on TIE-2 and VEGFR signaling in vitro (Ibberson et al., 2013), highlighting the contribution of angiogenesis to this phenomenon.

There are a number of myeloid lineage cells that accumulate within tumors, but perhaps the best studied are MDSCs. These cells are often found in large numbers within tumor sites as well as in the peripheral blood of cancer patients, and they are potent inhibitors of effector T cell functions (Gallina et al., 2006). These cells can contribute to the suppression of T cells through the production of arginase I and reactive oxygen species (ROS), IL-10, and TGF $\beta$  (reviewed by [Gabrilovich and Nagaraj, 2009]). Additionally, MDSCs can expand Treg cells within the tumor site (Huang et al., 2006). MDSCs can be recruited from the bone marrow and expanded in the peripheral blood through interactions with BV8 and endocrine-gland-derived VEGF (EG-VEGF) (LeCouter et al., 2004; Lin et al., 2002; Shojaei et al., 2007). Once in the blood, MDSCs can be recruited to tumors by a number of chemokines, such as CCL2, CXCL5, CXCL12, and stem cell factor (SCF) (Murdoch et al., 2008). The expression of both BV8 and CXCL12 is increased by hypoxia (Du et al., 2008), so in a similar manner to Treg cells, MDSCs might be recruited to sites of tumor hypoxia, where they might directly influence angiogenesis. Once in the tumor, MDSCs exert potent effects on T cell effector function, but they also control other key events in tumors. Injection of MDSCs into tumors significantly enhanced blood vessel development (Yang et al., 2004), and tumor angiogenesis was reduced in tumor-bearing mice treated with a neutralizing anti-BV8 antibody that reduced the numbers of infiltrating MDSCs (Shojaei et al., 2007). However, MDSCs have been shown to have phenotypic plasticity, and



**Figure 3. Local Immune Suppression in the Tumor Microenvironment**

After arrival within the tumor microenvironment, effector T cells can recognize and kill tumor targets. However, a number of soluble mediators, including TGF $\beta$ , IL-10, and adenosine, that are secreted by Treg cells, MDSCs, and tumor cells can limit T cell effector functions. It is likely that tumor cells over-express these molecules as a result of transformation, but Treg cells can be activated upon CTLA-4 ligation with CD80 on local APCs. In addition, tumors and Treg cells can disrupt effector T cell functions through metabolic disruption through tryptophan (mediated by IDO expression) and IL-2 depletion. Furthermore, PD-L1 and -L2 expression on tumor cells can directly terminate effector T cell functions through the ligation of PD-1 expressed by T cells.

they can acquire the characteristics of tumor-rejecting monocytes and even APCs if the right conditions are met. For example, cytokines such as IL-12 and IFN $\gamma$  have been shown to convert MDSCs into an APC-like cell that activates and enhances the functions of T cells either in vitro (Bronte et al., 2000) or in vivo (Kerkar et al., 2011).

### The Encounter with Tumor Cells

After T cells finally make their way through the barriers of the tumor vasculature and stroma, they are faced with a number of immunosuppressive factors that prevent effective recognition and/or attack of tumor cells (Figure 3). This topic has been reviewed extensively elsewhere (Whiteside, 2006; Zou, 2005). T cells depend largely on recognition of targets through major histocompatibility complex (MHC)-TCR interactions. It has become quite obvious that tumors express unique protein products that can be recognized by the immune system as "non-self"; such products range from overexpressed self-proteins, including cancer testis and other immune-privileged site antigens, to novel mutational epitopes resulting from nonsynonymous somatic mutations. Most tested tumors harbor tens to hundreds of such mutations (Vogelstein et al., 2013), many of these epitopes are candidates for presentation (Segal et al., 2008), and T cells recognizing such tumor-rejection epitopes have been recently identified in melanoma (Robbins et al., 2013), but it is not known whether these mutations are in fact transcribed, translated in mutated protein products, or finally included in peptides presented on surface MHC molecules in

all tumors. Many tumors presumably manage to eliminate the presentation of immunogenic peptides through loss of expression or downregulation of the antigen-presenting machinery occurring in both MHC Ia (classical) (Marincola et al., 2000) and MHC Ib (nonclassical) presentation (Carosella et al., 2003; Rodgers and Cook, 2005). There are a multitude of mechanisms responsible for this aberrant expression, possibly stemming from selective pressure from mutation, genetic loss, or epigenetic silencing of expression (Chang et al., 2005). This reduction is associated with histopathological markers of poor prognosis of disease and poor clinical outcomes (Marincola et al., 2000). However, the demonstration that CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells recognizing mutational epitopes are already present in tumors and can in fact reject tumors under the optimized conditions of adoptive transfer, indicates that at the steady-state tumors manage to attenuate recognition and attack by these T cells (Carosella et al., 2003; Chang et al., 2005; Marincola et al., 2000). Tumors express surface molecules that can directly kill T cells; these molecules are expressed in particular by the TNF family of genes that include FasL and TRAIL (Whiteside, 2002). They can also express surface proteins such as PD-L1, PD-L2 (Hamanishi et al., 2007), and B7-H4 (Kryczek et al., 2006), which can suppress T cell functions and arrest tumor rejection (Hirano et al., 2005; Munn and Mellor, 2004; Whiteside, 2002). In addition, the microenvironment close to tumor cells may be quite toxic for optimal CTL function. Tumors secrete a number of soluble mediators, such as TGF $\beta$ , IL-10, PGE<sub>2</sub>, histamine, hydrogen peroxide, and adenosine (Whiteside, 2002), that can directly inhibit CTLs. Deprivation of metabolic substrates as a result of competitive consumption by tumor cells and/or active depletion by enzymes such as IDO and arginase (Munn and Mellor, 2004) can further attenuate T cell effector function. Finally, the hypoxic conditions (Palazón et al., 2012) and the relatively lower extracellular pH characterizing the tumor interstitium can negatively affect CTL function (Aggarwal and Pittenger, 2005; Mandler et al., 2012).

### Therapies to Restore Antitumor Immunity

The generation of competent tumor-specific CTLs and their recognition and rejection of tumor cells seems like an impossible task. Yet recent successes indicate that this can be achieved with existing therapeutic means in a proportion of patients. It is obvious that most—if not all—tumors are potentially immunogenic, but the endogenous immune response is either entirely paralyzed or ineffective. Thus, major efforts should focus on attenuating tumor-associated immune suppression. Presently, there are multiple opportunities to target immunosuppressive pathways and mobilize antitumor immunity in vivo or ex vivo. Effective antitumor T cells can be expanded ex vivo from natural TILs, from endogenous high-avidity T cell clones recognizing tumor-associated antigens, or from T cells transduced with exogenous cloned TCRs or chimeric antigen receptors (CARs), and the host can be conditioned by lymphodepletion so that their engraftment is optimized; endogenous T cells can also be effectively activated by pharmacologic checkpoint inhibitors; the vasculature can be disrupted by low-dose metronomic chemotherapy or normalized by drugs targeting angiogenesis; soluble immunosuppressive factors such as IDO, IL-6, IL-10, TGF $\beta$ , and PGE<sub>2</sub> can be individually blocked by specific pharmacologic

inhibitors; suppressor cells can be depleted by specific chemotherapy drugs such as cyclophosphamide (e.g., for Treg cells) or gemcitabine (e.g., for MDSCs); endogenous tumor-specific T cell immunity can be effectively boosted by exogenous vaccines; and tumor-associated antigen-presenting cells can be activated by specific drugs, including Toll-like receptor agonists, while tumor antigens can be released *in situ* by immunogenic cell death induced by specific chemotherapy drugs or radiation. The complexity of the immunosuppressive mechanisms at the tumor microenvironment and the availability of multiple therapeutic opportunities render the clinical advancement of cancer immunotherapy strategies a daunting task. The rational design of therapeutic strategies could be aided by tumor classification based on the presence of pre-existing immunity. Robust biomarkers of pre-existing tumor immunity have not been validated yet, but TILs demonstrated by immunostaining could be a reasonable biomarker for classifying tumors in those that already have pre-existing TILs as well as in those that do not. Because most clinical trials lack pretreatment biopsies, there is to date no available data on whether the TIL biomarker can predict responses to checkpoint blockade or other therapies activating T cells (such as bispecific antibodies). However, this classification could help in the design of immunomodulatory therapy because immune escape mechanisms are likely to be quite different between these two main tumor immunophenotypes, at least with respect to T cell homing and engraftment. Validation of TILs is urgently needed so that it can be determined whether their presence in human tumors is a robust indication of endogenous antitumor immune response. Below, we discuss a number of immune therapies that have shown clinical efficacy or are under clinical development.

### **Tumors with Pre-existing TILs**

In tumors with pre-existing TILs, one can assume that the tumor microenvironment does not offer major barriers to T cell homing. Furthermore, the detection of tumor-reactive TILs would provide a strong indication that the tumor microenvironment does not entirely paralyze antigen-presenting mechanisms or the engraftment of tumor-reactive T cells. In melanoma, the success of adoptive TIL therapy is a testament to this hypothesis; all patients who receive TILs are preselected on the basis of the availability of expandable tumor-reactive TILs, and a significant proportion of them experience objective responses. Thus, these TILs can reject tumors when appropriately activated and expanded, indicating that proper T cell activation is a major issue in these tumors and that mechanisms limiting the function of CTLs must be operating in these tumors at the steady state. Attenuation of these immunosuppressive mechanisms could lead to clinical responses in many of these tumors. The first convincing evidence that activation of CTLs could produce objective clinical benefit in a significant number of patients was provided by ipilimumab, a monoclonal antibody directed against CTLA-4 (cytotoxic T lymphocyte activation marker 4). CTLA-4 is a potent negative regulator of T cell activation and binds to members of the B7 family of costimulatory molecules (Chambers et al., 2001). Binding of CTLA-4 inhibits activation of T cells, thus attenuating excessive T cell activation. The significance of CTLA-4 as a negative regulator of the immune response comes from CTLA-4 knockout mice, which die at a very early age of autoimmune toxicity (Waterhouse et al., 1995). Ipilimumab has

shown an overall survival benefit in two randomized phase III trials in patients with advanced melanoma (Hodi et al., 2010; Robert et al., 2011). Although the response rates were modest—between 10% and 15%—a significant survival advantage was observed in the treated populations. The mechanisms of action of CTLA-4 blockade, including disinhibition of CTLA-4<sup>+</sup> tumor-reactive TILs, but also depletion of CTLA-4<sup>high</sup> activated Treg cells, are still debated (Egen et al., 2002; Kwon et al., 1999; Selby et al., 2013; Suttmüller et al., 2001; van Elsas et al., 2001).

PD-1 is an additional coinhibitory receptor expressed on activated T cells. Its function is important in peripheral tissues, where T cells can encounter the PD-1 ligands PD-L1 (B7-H1) and PD-L2 (B7-DC), which are expressed by tumor cells, stromal cells, or both (Dong et al., 2002). Inhibition of PD-1 signaling can enhance T cell responses both *in vitro* and *in vivo* in preclinical tumor models (Iwai et al., 2002). In complementary pilot clinical studies using either anti-PD1 or anti-PD-L1 antibody, a large number of patients have demonstrated objective clinical responses (Brahmer et al., 2012; Topalian et al., 2012). Patients who responded the most appeared to be those whose tumors expressed PD-L1. In addition to patients with melanoma and renal cell cancer, those with lung cancer and other solid tumors have shown objective responses, validating that tumor immunogenicity is a universal tumor property and it is not restricted to a few tumor types traditionally considered to be immunogenic. A variety of additional coinhibitory (LAG-3, TIM-3, BTLA, 2B4, KLRG-1, CD160, etc.) and costimulatory (CD28, 4-1BB, OX-40, GITR etc.) receptors have been identified. Their significance as therapeutic targets, whether complementary or redundant, will require careful preclinical characterization and clinical testing. Despite encouraging preclinical results with dual blockade of PD-1 and CTLA-4 (Duraiswamy et al., 2013), clinical data are still being accumulated (trial number NCT01024231). However, the fact that many patients still do not respond to CTLA-4 and/or PD-1 blockade may suggest that additional signals are required for the effective rescue of TIL function.

An additional approach to activating TILs is the use of bispecific antibodies. This approach relies on the use of dual-specificity recombinant antibody structures that simultaneously engage both the TIL and an antigen on the tumor cell surface (Kontermann, 2012). For example, a recent approach using a bispecific antibody that recognizes both CD3 $\epsilon$  (T cell activating domain) and CD19 (tumor-binding domain) achieved impressive clinical success (Topp et al., 2011). This approach could be used in a number of additional tumor targets to promote activation of TILs within the tumor microenvironment. This method activates TILs in a nonspecific manner and therefore does not rely on the presence of endogenous tumor-specific T cells. However, tumors with pre-existing TILs might be more prone to respond, given that TILs are already available at the tumor microenvironment and that homing of additional T cells is not prevented by obvious local barriers.

### **Tumors Lacking Pre-existing TILs**

Whereas tumors with pre-existing TILs appear to be intrinsically more immunogenic and easier to approach therapeutically, tumors lacking TILs might be more complex. Experiments in mice indicate that effective checkpoint blockade might not be sufficient to reenact tumor rejection mechanisms, and additional

therapeutic interventions might be required for the mobilization of antitumor immunity (Kwon et al., 1999; Suttmüller et al., 2001; van Elsas et al., 2001). The molecular basis of immune escape in these tumors might be downregulation of the antigen-presenting machinery in tumor cells; this downregulation could be due to epigenetic deregulation and can be reversed with histone deacetylase inhibitors (Magner et al., 2000) or the use of low-dose IFN $\gamma$  (Propper et al., 2003). Alternatively, a prohibitive tumor endothelial barrier could explain why T cells might not be able to home to tumors (Kandalaf et al., 2011). Proangiogenic signals can deregulate adhesive properties of the tumor endothelium, and thus antiangiogenesis therapy could contribute to the antitumor immune response (Motz and Coukos, 2011). VEGF blockade in a transgenic mouse model of Her2/*neu*-driven breast cancer induced a de novo TIL infiltrate, which was responsible for enabling the therapeutic efficacy of VEGF blockade, as shown by the fact that CD8 $^{+}$  T cell depletion abrogated the therapeutic efficacy of the anti-VEGFR-2 antibody DC101 (Manning et al., 2007). Given that VEGF inhibition has not proven beneficial in many human solid tumor types (Ebos and Kerbel, 2011), alternate or combinatorial approaches to blocking angiogenesis might be required. Lastly, given the likely paucity of pre-existing T cells in these tumors, maneuvers that expand the pool of tumor-specific T cells could prove beneficial. Molecularly defined monovalent vaccines have failed to produce substantial responses to date, but whole-tumor antigen vaccines have performed better (Neller et al., 2008) and can greatly enhance checkpoint blockade to produce effective rejection of nonimmunogenic tumors (Duraiswamy et al., 2013). This is possibly because they comprise all the potential mutational epitopes in addition to any other immunodominant epitopes. Future vaccine development based on mutational epitopes will test this hypothesis (Castle et al., 2012). Alternatively, in situ vaccination with exposure of tumor antigen through the use of immunogenic chemotherapy or radiation, in combination with tumor APC activation using appropriate Toll-like receptor agonists, could lead to the generation of tumor-reactive T cells and a switch of the tumor chemokine microenvironment by activated innate immune cells.

#### **Specific Targeting of Immunosuppressive Cells**

In addition to promoting the activity of anti-tumor T cells, a number of approaches are aimed at inhibiting immune suppression within the tumor microenvironment. Specifically, a number of therapies have been developed to specifically deplete major immunosuppressive cell types. Reviewed extensively by Facciabene and colleagues (Facciabene et al., 2012), a number of direct and indirect methods aimed at depleting Treg cells within the tumor microenvironment exist. These include specific depletion with monoclonal antibodies (anti-CD25) and depletion with the use of chemotherapeutics such as cyclophosphamide (Facciabene et al., 2012). Furthermore, additional immune cell targets such as MDSCs have shown preclinical successes (Shojaei et al., 2007). This remains an area of active research.

#### **Facing and Deconvoluting the Molecular Complexity of Tumor Immune Suppression**

After decades of disappointment in immunotherapy, cancer immunotherapy using adoptive transfer of T cells or therapeutic antibodies that neutralize key checkpoint mechanisms (e.g.,

CTLA-4 or PD-1) has finally met the long-standing expectation that it will result in significant and reproducible clinical benefits. Immunotherapy is thus a feasible path forward to obtaining durable, long-lasting responses in cancer patients. Yet, many patients fail to respond, and among those who respond, many do not draw long-lasting benefits. Whereas adoptive therapy requires sophisticated infrastructures, checkpoint antibody therapy can be more easily distributed. Unfortunately, the mechanisms underlying therapeutic resistance to checkpoint blockade agents remain poorly understood, and the field faces a major gap in knowledge between our present understanding of mechanisms of tumor immune evasion at the steady state and tumor resistance to immunomodulatory therapy. It is likely that checkpoint blockade fails because constitutive or adaptive tolerance mechanisms suppressing antigen presentation and/or T cell homing and function are so powerful in many tumors that they cannot be overcome solely by checkpoint blockade. A major challenge in addressing these mechanisms relates to the complexity of tumor microenvironment immunoregulatory systems, where tumor cells and an ever-growing list of tumor-infiltrating host leukocytes and stromal cells (including blood and lymphatic endothelial cells and fibroblasts) can block T cell homing, engraftment, or effector function by diverse but often overlapping mechanisms. To translate this knowledge for use in the development of rational (and personalized) therapies, one must develop knowledge and tools that allow integrating these pathways and deciphering their functional order and hierarchies (if any exist). For example, at the steady state, it is important to understand whether escape mechanisms differ among tumors, and if so, whether there are hierarchically dominant mechanisms that could be targeted therapeutically first. From the therapeutic standpoint, it is unclear which of these mechanisms contribute to a lack of response to the checkpoint blockade and how they might interact—when they coexist—to produce therapeutic resistance. By the reverse argument, it is unclear which of these escape mechanisms can be overcome by activation of lymphocytes through checkpoint blockade alone and which continue to act as a barrier to attenuate or abolish the effect of checkpoint blockade. It is likely that such mechanisms do not have a well-defined hierarchy, but their logic may be organized in networks. Although many of these resistance mechanisms are pre-existing, others might emerge in response to tumor adaptation to effective immune attack. Understanding this complex system requires new methods, including the development of high-throughput experimental models that capture the heterogeneity of tumors among patients and allow systems-pharmacology approaches producing large orthogonal data sets as well as systems-biology analytical approaches (Network, 2012).

Recognition of the complexity of biological networks has on the one hand made it more difficult to identify appropriate therapeutic choices, but on the other hand, insights from systems biology suggest a new way of thinking about treatment resistance that may directly lead to new designs for trials. The tumor microenvironment coopts robust tissue-repair mechanisms developed to promote homeostasis after injury; this tissue-repair response activates overlapping cellular and molecular mechanisms promoting immune tolerance, angiogenesis, extracellular remodeling, and tissue repair. Successful immunotherapy must



offer combinatorial strategies that make it impossible to block T cell responses, either by eliminating an essential, nonredundant central component or by simultaneously targeting multiple components that are able to compensate for each other's activity. Such knowledge can only be developed effectively with experimental and analytical systems approaches and innovative adaptive designs in clinical trials.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This project was supported by National Institutes of Health Transformative R01 CA156695 (GC), a grant by the Ovarian Cancer Research Fund (GTM), and the Ludwig Institute for Cancer Research.

## REFERENCES

- Aggarwal, S., and Pittenger, M.F. (2005). Human mesenchymal stem cells modulate allogeneic immune cell responses. *Blood* 105, 1815–1822.
- Almand, B., Resser, J.R., Lindman, B., Nadaf, S., Clark, J.I., Kwon, E.D., Carbone, D.P., and Gabrilovich, D.I. (2000). Clinical significance of defective dendritic cell differentiation in cancer. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 6, 1755–1766.
- Bagnato, A., and Rosanò, L. (2008). The endothelin axis in cancer. *Int. J. Biochem. Cell Biol.* 40, 1443–1451.
- Bagnato, A., Salani, D., Di Castro, V., Wu-Wong, J.R., Tecce, R., Nicotra, M.R., Venuti, A., and Natali, P.G. (1999). Expression of endothelin 1 and endothelin A receptor in ovarian carcinoma: evidence for an autocrine role in tumor growth. *Cancer Res.* 59, 720–727.
- Balkwill, F. (2009). Tumour necrosis factor and cancer. *Nat. Rev. Cancer* 9, 361–371.
- Batista, C.E., Juhász, C., Muzik, O., Kupsky, W.J., Barger, G., Chugani, H.T., Mittal, S., Sood, S., Chakraborty, P.K., and Chugani, D.C. (2009). Imaging correlates of differential expression of indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase in human brain tumors. *Mol. Imaging Biol.* 11, 460–466.
- Ben-Shoshan, J., Maysel-Auslender, S., Mor, A., Keren, G., and George, J. (2008). Hypoxia controls CD4+CD25+ regulatory T-cell homeostasis via hypoxia-inducible factor-1 $\alpha$ . *Eur. J. Immunol.* 38, 2412–2418.
- Blaschitz, A., Gauster, M., Fuchs, D., Lang, I., Maschke, P., Ulrich, D., Karpf, E., Takikawa, O., Schimek, M.G., Dohr, G., and Sedlmayr, P. (2011). Vascular endothelial expression of indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase 1 forms a positive gradient towards the feto-maternal interface. *PLoS ONE* 6, e21774.
- Boissonnas, A., Fetler, L., Zeelenberg, I.S., Hugues, S., and Amigorena, S. (2007). In vivo imaging of cytotoxic T cell infiltration and elimination of a solid tumor. *J. Exp. Med.* 204, 345–356.
- Bonertz, A., Weitz, J., Pietsch, D.H., Rahbari, N.N., Schlude, C., Ge, Y., Juenger, S., Vlodavsky, I., Khazaie, K., Jaeger, D., et al. (2009). Antigen-specific Tregs control T cell responses against a limited repertoire of tumor antigens in patients with colorectal carcinoma. *J. Clin. Invest.* 119, 3311–3321.
- Boon, T., Coulie, P.G., Van den Eynde, B.J., and van der Bruggen, P. (2006). Human T cell responses against melanoma. *Annu. Rev. Immunol.* 24, 175–208.
- Bouzin, C., Brouet, A., De Vriese, J., Dewever, J., and Feron, O. (2007). Effects of vascular endothelial growth factor on the lymphocyte-endothelium interactions: identification of caveolin-1 and nitric oxide as control points of endothelial cell anergy. *J. Immunol.* 178, 1505–1511.
- Brahmer, J.R., Tykodi, S.S., Chow, L.Q., Hwu, W.J., Topalian, S.L., Hwu, P., Drake, C.G., Camacho, L.H., Kauh, J., Odunsi, K., et al. (2012). Safety and activity of anti-PD-L1 antibody in patients with advanced cancer. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 366, 2455–2465.
- Braun, D., Longman, R.S., and Albert, M.L. (2005). A two-step induction of indoleamine 2,3 dioxygenase (IDO) activity during dendritic-cell maturation. *Blood* 106, 2375–2381.
- Bronte, V., Apolloni, E., Cabrelle, A., Ronca, R., Serafini, P., Zamboni, P., Restifo, N.P., and Zanovello, P. (2000). Identification of a CD11b(+)/Gr-1(+)/CD31(+) myeloid progenitor capable of activating or suppressing CD8(+) T cells. *Blood* 96, 3838–3846.
- Bronte, V., Kasic, T., Gri, G., Gallana, K., Borsellino, G., Marigo, I., Battistini, L., lafrate, M., Prayer-Galetti, T., Pagano, F., and Viola, A. (2005). Boosting anti-tumor responses of T lymphocytes infiltrating human prostate cancers. *J. Exp. Med.* 201, 1257–1268.
- Brown, C.E., Vishwanath, R.P., Aguilar, B., Starr, R., Najbauer, J., Aboody, K.S., and Jensen, M.C. (2007). Tumor-derived chemokine MCP-1/CCL2 is sufficient for mediating tumor tropism of adoptively transferred T cells. *J. Immunol.* 179, 3332–3341.
- Buckanovich, R.J., Facciabene, A., Kim, S., Benencia, F., Sasaroli, D., Balint, K., Katsaros, D., O'Brien-Jenkins, A., Gimotty, P.A., and Coukos, G. (2008). Endothelin B receptor mediates the endothelial barrier to T cell homing to tumors and disables immune therapy. *Nat. Med.* 14, 28–36.
- Carosella, E.D., Moreau, P., Le Maout, J., Le Discorde, M., Dausset, J., and Rouas-Freiss, N. (2003). HLA-G molecules: from maternal-fetal tolerance to tissue acceptance. *Adv. Immunol.* 81, 199–252.
- Castle, J.C., Kreiter, S., Diekmann, J., Löwer, M., van de Roemer, N., de Graaf, J., Selmi, A., Diken, M., Boegel, S., Paret, C., et al. (2012). Exploiting the mutanome for tumor vaccination. *Cancer Res.* 72, 1081–1091.
- Cavassani, K.A., Ishii, M., Wen, H., Schaller, M.A., Lincoln, P.M., Lukacs, N.W., Hogaboam, C.M., and Kunkel, S.L. (2008). TLR3 is an endogenous sensor of tissue necrosis during acute inflammatory events. *J. Exp. Med.* 205, 2609–2621.
- Chambers, C.A., Kuhns, M.S., Egen, J.G., and Allison, J.P. (2001). CTLA-4-mediated inhibition in regulation of T cell responses: mechanisms and manipulation in tumor immunotherapy. *Annu. Rev. Immunol.* 19, 565–594.
- Chang, C.C., Campoli, M., and Ferrone, S. (2005). Classical and nonclassical HLA class I antigen and NK Cell-activating ligand changes in malignant cells: current challenges and future directions. *Adv. Cancer Res.* 93, 189–234.
- Chen, W., Jin, W., Hardegen, N., Lei, K.J., Li, L., Marinos, N., McGrady, G., and Wahl, S.M. (2003). Conversion of peripheral CD4+CD25- naive T cells to CD4+CD25+ regulatory T cells by TGF- $\beta$  induction of transcription factor Foxp3. *J. Exp. Med.* 198, 1875–1886.
- Coffelt, S.B., Chen, Y.Y., Muthana, M., Welford, A.F., Tal, A.O., Scholz, A., Plate, K.H., Reiss, Y., Murdoch, C., De Palma, M., and Lewis, C.E. (2011). Angiopoietin 2 stimulates TIE2-expressing monocytes to suppress T cell activation and to promote regulatory T cell expansion. *J. Immunol.* 186, 4183–4190.
- Conejo-Garcia, J.R., Benencia, F., Courreges, M.C., Kang, E., Mohamed-Hadley, A., Buckanovich, R.J., Holtz, D.O., Jenkins, A., Na, H., Zhang, L., et al. (2004). Tumor-infiltrating dendritic cell precursors recruited by a beta-defensin contribute to vasculogenesis under the influence of Vegf-A. *Nat. Med.* 10, 950–958.
- Curiel, T.J., Wei, S., Dong, H., Alvarez, X., Cheng, P., Mottram, P., Krzysiek, R., Knutson, K.L., Daniel, B., Zimmermann, M.C., et al. (2003). Blockade of B7-H1 improves myeloid dendritic cell-mediated antitumor immunity. *Nat. Med.* 9, 562–567.
- Curiel, T.J., Coukos, G., Zou, L., Alvarez, X., Cheng, P., Mottram, P., Evdemon-Hogan, M., Conejo-Garcia, J.R., Zhang, L., Burow, M., et al. (2004). Specific recruitment of regulatory T cells in ovarian carcinoma fosters immune privilege and predicts reduced survival. *Nat. Med.* 10, 942–949.
- Curotto de Lafaille, M.A., and Lafaille, J.J. (2009). Natural and adaptive foxp3+ regulatory T cells: more of the same or a division of labor? *Immunity* 30, 626–635.
- Davenpeck, K.L., Gauthier, T.W., and Lefer, A.M. (1994). Inhibition of endothelial-derived nitric oxide promotes P-selectin expression and actions in the rat microcirculation. *Gastroenterology* 107, 1050–1058.
- De Caterina, R., Libby, P., Peng, H.B., Thannickal, V.J., Rajavashisth, T.B., Gimbrone, M.A., Jr., Shin, W.S., and Liao, J.K. (1995). Nitric oxide decreases cytokine-induced endothelial activation. Nitric oxide selectively reduces endothelial expression of adhesion molecules and proinflammatory cytokines. *J. Clin. Invest.* 96, 60–68.
- de Chaisemartin, L., Goc, J., Damotte, D., Validire, P., Magdeleinat, P., Alifano, M., Cremer, I., Fridman, W.H., Sautès-Fridman, C., and Dieu-Nosjean, M.C. (2011). Characterization of chemokines and adhesion molecules associated with T cell presence in tertiary lymphoid structures in human lung cancer. *Cancer Res.* 71, 6391–6399.

- Deaglio, S., Dwyer, K.M., Gao, W., Friedman, D., Usheva, A., Erat, A., Chen, J.F., Enjoji, K., Linden, J., Oukka, M., et al. (2007). Adenosine generation catalyzed by CD39 and CD73 expressed on regulatory T cells mediates immune suppression. *J. Exp. Med.* 204, 1257–1265.
- Della Porta, M., Danova, M., Rigolin, G.M., Brugnattelli, S., Rovati, B., Tronconi, C., Fraulini, C., Russo Rossi, A., Riccardi, A., and Castoldi, G. (2005). Dendritic cells and vascular endothelial growth factor in colorectal cancer: correlations with clinicobiological findings. *Oncology* 68, 276–284.
- Detmar, M., Brown, L.F., Schön, M.P., Elicker, B.M., Velasco, P., Richard, L., Fukumura, D., Monsky, W., Claffey, K.P., and Jain, R.K. (1998). Increased microvascular density and enhanced leukocyte rolling and adhesion in the skin of VEGF transgenic mice. *J. Invest. Dermatol.* 111, 1–6.
- Dirkx, A.E., Oude Egbrink, M.G., Kuijpers, M.J., van der Niet, S.T., Heijnen, V.V., Bouma-ter Steege, J.C., Wagstaff, J., and Griffioen, A.W. (2003). Tumor angiogenesis modulates leukocyte-vessel wall interactions in vivo by reducing endothelial adhesion molecule expression. *Cancer Res.* 63, 2322–2329.
- Dolfi, D.V., Duttagupta, P.A., Boesteanu, A.C., Mueller, Y.M., Oliai, C.H., Borowski, A.B., and Katsikis, P.D. (2011). Dendritic cells and CD28 costimulation are required to sustain virus-specific CD8<sup>+</sup> T cell responses during the effector phase in vivo. *J. Immunol.* 186, 4599–4608.
- Dong, H., Strome, S.E., Salomao, D.R., Tamura, H., Hirano, F., Flies, D.B., Roche, P.C., Lu, J., Zhu, G., Tamada, K., et al. (2002). Tumor-associated B7-H1 promotes T-cell apoptosis: a potential mechanism of immune evasion. *Nat. Med.* 8, 793–800.
- Dranoff, G., and Fearon, D. (2013). Tumour immunology. *Curr. Opin. Immunol.* 25, 189–191.
- Du, R., Lu, K.V., Petritsch, C., Liu, P., Ganss, R., Passequé, E., Song, H., Vandenberg, S., Johnson, R.S., Werb, Z., and Bergers, G. (2008). HIF1 $\alpha$  induces the recruitment of bone marrow-derived vascular modulatory cells to regulate tumor angiogenesis and invasion. *Cancer Cell* 13, 206–220.
- Dudley, M.E., Wunderlich, J.R., Robbins, P.F., Yang, J.C., Hwu, P., Schwartzentruber, D.J., Topalian, S.L., Sherry, R., Restifo, N.P., Hübicki, A.M., et al. (2002). Cancer regression and autoimmunity in patients after clonal repopulation with antitumor lymphocytes. *Science* 298, 850–854.
- Duraiswamy, J., Kaluza, K.M., Freeman, G.J., and Coukos, G. (2013). Dual Blockade of PD-1 and CTLA-4 Combined with Tumor Vaccine Effectively Restores T-Cell Rejection Function in Tumors. *Cancer Res.* 73, 3591–3603.
- Ebos, J.M., and Kerbel, R.S. (2011). Antiangiogenic therapy: impact on invasion, disease progression, and metastasis. *Nat Rev Clin Oncol* 8, 210–221.
- Egen, J.G., Kuhns, M.S., and Allison, J.P. (2002). CTLA-4: new insights into its biological function and use in tumor immunotherapy. *Nat. Immunol.* 3, 611–618.
- Elia, A.R., Cappello, P., Puppo, M., Fraone, T., Vanni, C., Eva, A., Musso, T., Novelli, F., Varesio, L., and Giovarelli, M. (2008). Human dendritic cells differentiated in hypoxia down-modulate antigen uptake and change their chemokine expression profile. *J. Leukoc. Biol.* 84, 1472–1482.
- Engels, B., Rowley, D.A., and Schreiber, H. (2012). Targeting stroma to treat cancers. *Semin. Cancer Biol.* 22, 41–49.
- Facciabene, A., Peng, X., Hagemann, I.S., Balint, K., Barchetti, A., Wang, L.P., Gimotty, P.A., Gilks, C.B., Lal, P., Zhang, L., and Coukos, G. (2011). Tumour hypoxia promotes tolerance and angiogenesis via CCL28 and T(reg) cells. *Nature* 475, 226–230.
- Facciabene, A., Motz, G.T., and Coukos, G. (2012). T-regulatory cells: key players in tumor immune escape and angiogenesis. *Cancer Res.* 72, 2162–2171.
- Fontenot, J.D., Rasmussen, J.P., Gavin, M.A., and Rudensky, A.Y. (2005). A function for interleukin 2 in Foxp3-expressing regulatory T cells. *Nat. Immunol.* 6, 1142–1151.
- Frebel, H., Nindl, V., Schuepbach, R.A., Braunschweiler, T., Richter, K., Vogel, J., Wagner, C.A., Löffing-Cueni, D., Kurrer, M., Ludewig, B., and Oxenius, A. (2012). Programmed death 1 protects from fatal circulatory failure during systemic virus infection of mice. *J. Exp. Med.* 209, 2485–2499.
- Fricke, I., Mirza, N., Dupont, J., Lockhart, C., Jackson, A., Lee, J.H., Sosman, J.A., and Gabrilovich, D.I. (2007). Vascular endothelial growth factor-trap overcomes defects in dendritic cell differentiation but does not improve antigen-specific immune responses. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 13, 4840–4848.
- Frommer, K.W., and Müller-Ladner, U. (2008). Expression and function of ETA and ETB receptors in SSc. *Rheumatology (Oxford)* 47(Suppl 5), v27–v28.
- Fu, H., Khan, A., Coe, D., Zaher, S., Chai, J.G., Kropf, P., Müller, I., Larkin, D.F., and George, A.J. (2011). Arginine depletion as a mechanism for the immune privilege of corneal allografts. *Eur. J. Immunol.* 41, 2997–3005.
- Fukumura, D., Yuan, F., Endo, M., and Jain, R.K. (1997). Role of nitric oxide in tumor microcirculation. Blood flow, vascular permeability, and leukocyte-endothelial interactions. *Am. J. Pathol.* 150, 713–725.
- Fukumura, D., Kashiwagi, S., and Jain, R.K. (2006). The role of nitric oxide in tumour progression. *Nat. Rev. Cancer* 6, 521–534.
- Gabrilovich, D. (2004). Mechanisms and functional significance of tumour-induced dendritic-cell defects. *Nat. Rev. Immunol.* 4, 941–952.
- Gabrilovich, D.I., and Nagaraj, S. (2009). Myeloid-derived suppressor cells as regulators of the immune system. *Nat. Rev. Immunol.* 9, 162–174.
- Gabrilovich, D.I., Chen, H.L., Girgis, K.R., Cunningham, H.T., Meny, G.M., Nadaf, S., Kavanaugh, D., and Carbone, D.P. (1996). Production of vascular endothelial growth factor by human tumors inhibits the functional maturation of dendritic cells. *Nat. Med.* 2, 1096–1103.
- Gabrilovich, D.I., Ishida, T., Nadaf, S., Ohm, J.E., and Carbone, D.P. (1999). Antibodies to vascular endothelial growth factor enhance the efficacy of cancer immunotherapy by improving endogenous dendritic cell function. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 5, 2963–2970.
- Gabrilovich, D.I., Ostrand-Rosenberg, S., and Bronte, V. (2012). Coordinated regulation of myeloid cells by tumours. *Nat. Rev. Immunol.* 12, 253–268.
- Gallina, G., Dolcetti, L., Serafini, P., De Santo, C., Marigo, I., Colombo, M.P., Basso, G., Brombacher, F., Borrello, I., Zanovello, P., et al. (2006). Tumors induce a subset of inflammatory monocytes with immunosuppressive activity on CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells. *J. Clin. Invest.* 116, 2777–2790.
- Galon, J., Costes, A., Sanchez-Cabo, F., Kirilovsky, A., Mlecnik, B., Lagorce-Pagès, C., Tosolini, M., Camus, M., Berger, A., Wind, P., et al. (2006). Type, density, and location of immune cells within human colorectal tumors predict clinical outcome. *Science* 313, 1960–1964.
- Geissmann, F., Revy, P., Regnault, A., Lepelletier, Y., Dy, M., Brousse, N., Amigorena, S., Hermine, O., and Durandy, A. (1999). TGF- $\beta$  1 prevents the non-cognate maturation of human dendritic Langerhans cells. *J. Immunol.* 162, 4567–4575.
- Ghiringhelli, F., Puig, P.E., Roux, S., Parcellier, A., Schmitt, E., Solary, E., Kroemer, G., Martin, F., Chaffert, B., and Zitvogel, L. (2005). Tumor cells convert immature myeloid dendritic cells into TGF- $\beta$ -secreting cells inducing CD4<sup>+</sup>CD25<sup>+</sup> regulatory T cell proliferation. *J. Exp. Med.* 202, 919–929.
- Gondek, D.C., Lu, L.F., Quezada, S.A., Sakaguchi, S., and Noelle, R.J. (2005). Cutting edge: contact-mediated suppression by CD4<sup>+</sup>CD25<sup>+</sup> regulatory cells involves a granzyme B-dependent, perforin-independent mechanism. *J. Immunol.* 174, 1783–1786.
- Gottfried, E., Kunz-Schughart, L.A., Ebner, S., Mueller-Klieser, W., Hoves, S., Andreesen, R., Mackensen, A., and Kreutz, M. (2006). Tumor-derived lactic acid modulates dendritic cell activation and antigen expression. *Blood* 107, 2013–2021.
- Griffioen, A.W., Damen, C.A., Blijham, G.H., and Groenewegen, G. (1996a). Tumor angiogenesis is accompanied by a decreased inflammatory response of tumor-associated endothelium. *Blood* 88, 667–673.
- Griffioen, A.W., Damen, C.A., Martinotti, S., Blijham, G.H., and Groenewegen, G. (1996b). Endothelial intercellular adhesion molecule-1 expression is suppressed in human malignancies: the role of angiogenic factors. *Cancer Res.* 56, 1111–1117.
- Hamanishi, J., Mandai, M., Iwasaki, M., Okazaki, T., Tanaka, Y., Yamaguchi, K., Higuchi, T., Yagi, H., Takakura, K., Minato, N., et al. (2007). Programmed cell death 1 ligand 1 and tumor-infiltrating CD8<sup>+</sup> T lymphocytes are prognostic factors of human ovarian cancer. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 104, 3360–3365.
- Harlin, H., Meng, Y., Peterson, A.C., Zha, Y., Tretiakova, M., Slingluff, C., McKee, M., and Gajewski, T.F. (2009). Chemokine expression in melanoma

- metastases associated with CD8+ T-cell recruitment. *Cancer Res.* 69, 3077–3085.
- Hashimoto, D., Miller, J., and Merad, M. (2011). Dendritic cell and macrophage heterogeneity in vivo. *Immunity* 35, 323–335.
- Hernández, G.L., Volpert, O.V., Iñiguez, M.A., Lorenzo, E., Martínez-Martínez, S., Grau, R., Fresno, M., and Redondo, J.M. (2001). Selective inhibition of vascular endothelial growth factor-mediated angiogenesis by cyclosporin A: roles of the nuclear factor of activated T cells and cyclooxygenase 2. *J. Exp. Med.* 193, 607–620.
- Hirano, F., Kaneko, K., Tamura, H., Dong, H., Wang, S., Ichikawa, M., Rietz, C., Flies, D.B., Lau, J.S., Zhu, G., et al. (2005). Blockade of B7-H1 and PD-1 by monoclonal antibodies potentiates cancer therapeutic immunity. *Cancer Res.* 65, 1089–1096.
- Hodi, F.S., O'Day, S.J., McDermott, D.F., Weber, R.W., Sosman, J.A., Haanen, J.B., Gonzalez, R., Robert, C., Schadendorf, D., Hassel, J.C., et al. (2010). Improved survival with ipilimumab in patients with metastatic melanoma. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 363, 711–723.
- Huang, B., Pan, P.Y., Li, Q., Sato, A.I., Levy, D.E., Bromberg, J., Divino, C.M., and Chen, S.H. (2006). Gr-1+CD115+ immature myeloid suppressor cells mediate the development of tumor-induced T regulatory cells and T-cell anergy in tumor-bearing host. *Cancer Res.* 66, 1123–1131.
- Huang, X., Bai, X., Cao, Y., Wu, J., Huang, M., Tang, D., Tao, S., Zhu, T., Liu, Y., Yang, Y., et al. (2010). Lymphoma endothelium preferentially expresses Tim-3 and facilitates the progression of lymphoma by mediating immune evasion. *J. Exp. Med.* 207, 505–520.
- Huarte, E., Cubillos-Ruiz, J.R., Nesbeth, Y.C., Scarlett, U.K., Martinez, D.G., Buckanovich, R.J., Benencia, F., Stan, R.V., Keler, T., Sarobe, P., et al. (2008). Depletion of dendritic cells delays ovarian cancer progression by boosting antitumor immunity. *Cancer Res.* 68, 7684–7691.
- Hwang, W.T., Adams, S.F., Tahirovic, E., Hagemann, I.S., and Coukos, G. (2012). Prognostic significance of tumor-infiltrating T cells in ovarian cancer: a meta-analysis. *Gynecol. Oncol.* 124, 192–198.
- Ibberson, M., Bron, S., Guex, N., Faes-Van't Hull, E., Henry, L., Ifticene-Treboux, A., Lehr, H.A., Delaloye, J.F., Coukos, G., Xenarios, I., et al. (2013). TIE-2 and VEGFR kinase activities drive TIE-2-expressing monocytes immunosuppressive function in human breast tumors. *Clin Cancer Res.*
- Ishida, T., Oyama, T., Carbone, D.P., and Gabrilovich, D.I. (1998). Defective function of Langerhans cells in tumor-bearing animals is the result of defective maturation from hemopoietic progenitors. *J. Immunol.* 161, 4842–4851.
- Ishida, T., Ishii, T., Inagaki, A., Yano, H., Komatsu, H., Iida, S., Inagaki, H., and Ueda, R. (2006). Specific recruitment of CC chemokine receptor 4-positive regulatory T cells in Hodgkin lymphoma fosters immune privilege. *Cancer Res.* 66, 5716–5722.
- Iwai, Y., Ishida, M., Tanaka, Y., Okazaki, T., Honjo, T., and Minato, N. (2002). Involvement of PD-L1 on tumor cells in the escape from host immune system and tumor immunotherapy by PD-L1 blockade. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 99, 12293–12297.
- Kaliński, P., Hilkens, C.M., Sijnders, A., Sijndewint, F.G., and Kapsenberg, M.L. (1997). IL-12-deficient dendritic cells, generated in the presence of prostaglandin E2, promote type 2 cytokine production in maturing human naive T helper cells. *J. Immunol.* 159, 28–35.
- Kammertoens, T., Schüler, T., and Blankenstein, T. (2005). Immunotherapy: target the stroma to hit the tumor. *Trends Mol. Med.* 11, 225–231.
- Kandalafi, L.E., Motz, G.T., Duraiswamy, J., and Coukos, G. (2011). Tumor immune surveillance and ovarian cancer: lessons on immune mediated tumor rejection or tolerance. *Cancer Metastasis Rev.* 30, 141–151.
- Kato, T., Kameoka, S., Kimura, T., Soga, N., Abe, Y., Nishikawa, T., and Kobayashi, M. (2001). Angiogenesis as a predictor of long-term survival for 377 Japanese patients with breast cancer. *Breast Cancer Res. Treat.* 70, 65–74.
- Kerkar, S.P., Goldszmid, R.S., Muranski, P., Chinnasamy, D., Yu, Z., Reger, R.N., Leonardi, A.J., Morgan, R.A., Wang, E., Marincola, F.M., et al. (2011). IL-12 triggers a programmatic change in dysfunctional myeloid-derived cells within mouse tumors. *J. Clin. Invest.* 121, 4746–4757.
- Kim, R., Emi, M., Tanabe, K., and Arihiro, K. (2007). Potential functional role of plasmacytoid dendritic cells in cancer immunity. *Immunology* 121, 149–157.
- Kontermann, R. (2012). Dual targeting strategies with bispecific antibodies. *mAbs* 4, 182–197.
- Kryczek, I., Zou, L., Rodriguez, P., Zhu, G., Wei, S., Mottram, P., Brumlik, M., Cheng, P., Curiel, T., Myers, L., et al. (2006). B7-H4 expression identifies a novel suppressive macrophage population in human ovarian carcinoma. *J. Exp. Med.* 203, 871–881.
- Kubes, P., Suzuki, M., and Granger, D.N. (1991). Nitric oxide: an endogenous modulator of leukocyte adhesion. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 88, 4651–4655.
- Kwon, E.D., Foster, B.A., Hurwitz, A.A., Madias, C., Allison, J.P., Greenberg, N.M., and Burg, M.B. (1999). Elimination of residual metastatic prostate cancer after surgery and adjunctive cytotoxic T lymphocyte-associated antigen 4 (CTLA-4) blockade immunotherapy. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 96, 15074–15079.
- Labidi-Galy, S.I., Sisirak, V., Meeus, P., Gobert, M., Treilleux, I., Bajard, A., Combes, J.D., Faget, J., Mithieux, F., Cassagnol, A., et al. (2011). Quantitative and functional alterations of plasmacytoid dendritic cells contribute to immune tolerance in ovarian cancer. *Cancer Res.* 71, 5423–5434.
- LeCouter, J., Zlot, C., Tejada, M., Peale, F., and Ferrara, N. (2004). Bv8 and endocrine gland-derived vascular endothelial growth factor stimulate hematopoiesis and hematopoietic cell mobilization. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 101, 16813–16818.
- Lefer, D.J., Jones, S.P., Girod, W.G., Baines, A., Grisham, M.B., Cockrell, A.S., Huang, P.L., and Scalia, R. (1999). Leukocyte-endothelial cell interactions in nitric oxide synthase-deficient mice. *Am. J. Physiol.* 276, H1943–H1950.
- Lin, R., LeCouter, J., Kowalski, J., and Ferrara, N. (2002). Characterization of endocrine gland-derived vascular endothelial growth factor signaling in adrenal cortex capillary endothelial cells. *J. Biol. Chem.* 277, 8724–8729.
- Liu, V.C., Wong, L.Y., Jang, T., Shah, A.H., Park, I., Yang, X., Zhang, Q., Lonning, S., Teicher, B.A., and Lee, C. (2007). Tumor evasion of the immune system by converting CD4+CD25- T cells into CD4+CD25+ T regulatory cells: role of tumor-derived TGF-beta. *J. Immunol.* 178, 2883–2892.
- Loos, T., Mortier, A., and Proost, P. (2009). Chapter 1. Isolation, identification, and production of posttranslationally modified chemokines. *Methods Enzymol.* 461, 3–29.
- Loser, K., Apelt, J., Voskort, M., Mohaupt, M., Balkow, S., Schwarz, T., Grabbe, S., and Beissert, S. (2007). IL-10 controls ultraviolet-induced carcinogenesis in mice. *J. Immunol.* 179, 365–371.
- Lukacs-Kornek, V., Malhotra, D., Fletcher, A.L., Acton, S.E., Elpek, K.G., Tayalia, P., Collier, A.R., and Turley, S.J. (2011). Regulated release of nitric oxide by nonhematopoietic stroma controls expansion of the activated T cell pool in lymph nodes. *Nat. Immunol.* 12, 1096–1104.
- Lurquin, C., Lethé, B., De Plaen, E., Corbière, V., Théate, I., van Baren, N., Coulie, P.G., and Boon, T. (2005). Contrasting frequencies of antitumor and anti-vaccine T cells in metastases of a melanoma patient vaccinated with a MAGE tumor antigen. *J. Exp. Med.* 201, 249–257.
- Lutz, M.B., and Schuler, G. (2002). Immature, semi-mature and fully mature dendritic cells: which signals induce tolerance or immunity? *Trends Immunol.* 23, 445–449.
- Ma, L., Mauro, C., Cornish, G.H., Chai, J.G., Coe, D., Fu, H., Patton, D., Okkenhaug, K., Franzoso, G., Dyson, J., et al. (2010). Ig gene-like molecule CD31 plays a nonredundant role in the regulation of T-cell immunity and tolerance. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 107, 19461–19466.
- Ma, C., Zhang, Q., Ye, J., Wang, F., Zhang, Y., Wevers, E., Schwartz, T., Hunborg, P., Varvares, M.A., Hoft, D.F., et al. (2012). Tumor-infiltrating  $\gamma\delta$  T lymphocytes predict clinical outcome in human breast cancer. *J. Immunol.* 189, 5029–5036.
- Magner, W.J., Kazim, A.L., Stewart, C., Romano, M.A., Catalano, G., Grande, C., Keiser, N., Santaniello, F., and Tomasi, T.B. (2000). Activation of MHC class I, II, and CD40 gene expression by histone deacetylase inhibitors. *J. Immunol.* 165, 7017–7024.
- Mahnke, K., Schmitt, E., Bonifaz, L., Enk, A.H., and Jonuleit, H. (2002). Immature, but not inactive: the tolerogenic function of immature dendritic cells. *Immunol. Cell Biol.* 80, 477–483.
- Manning, E.A., Ullman, J.G., Leatherman, J.M., Asquith, J.M., Hansen, T.R., Armstrong, T.D., Hicklin, D.J., Jaffee, E.M., and Emens, L.A. (2007). A vascular



- endothelial growth factor receptor-2 inhibitor enhances antitumor immunity through an immune-based mechanism. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 13, 3951–3959.
- Marincola, F.M., Jaffee, E.M., Hicklin, D.J., and Ferrone, S. (2000). Escape of human solid tumors from T-cell recognition: molecular mechanisms and functional significance. *Adv. Immunol.* 74, 181–273.
- Martin-Orozco, N., Muranski, P., Chung, Y., Yang, X.O., Yamazaki, T., Lu, S., Hwu, P., Restifo, N.P., Overwijk, W.W., and Dong, C. (2009). T helper 17 cells promote cytotoxic T cell activation in tumor immunity. *Immunity* 31, 787–798.
- Mazanet, M.M., and Hughes, C.C. (2002). B7-H1 is expressed by human endothelial cells and suppresses T cell cytokine synthesis. *J. Immunol.* 169, 3581–3588.
- Meidan, R., and Levy, N. (2007). The ovarian endothelin network: an evolving story. *Trends Endocrinol. Metab.* 18, 379–385.
- Mellman, I., and Steinman, R.M. (2001). Dendritic cells: specialized and regulated antigen processing machines. *Cell* 106, 255–258.
- Mendler, A.N., Hu, B., Prinz, P.U., Kreutz, M., Gottfried, E., and Noessner, E. (2012). Tumor lactic acidosis suppresses CTL function by inhibition of p38 and JNK/c-Jun activation. *Int. J. Cancer* 131, 633–640.
- Menetrier-Caux, C., Montmain, G., Dieu, M.C., Bain, C., Favrot, M.C., Caux, C., and Blay, J.Y. (1998). Inhibition of the differentiation of dendritic cells from CD34(+) progenitors by tumor cells: role of interleukin-6 and macrophage colony-stimulating factor. *Blood* 92, 4778–4791.
- Min, J.K., Lee, Y.M., Kim, J.H., Kim, Y.M., Kim, S.W., Lee, S.Y., Gho, Y.S., Oh, G.T., and Kwon, Y.G. (2005). Hepatocyte growth factor suppresses vascular endothelial growth factor-induced expression of endothelial ICAM-1 and VCAM-1 by inhibiting the nuclear factor-kappaB pathway. *Circ. Res.* 96, 300–307.
- Molon, B., Ugel, S., Del Pozzo, F., Soldani, C., Zilio, S., Avella, D., De Palma, A., Mauri, P., Monegal, A., Rescigno, M., et al. (2011). Chemokine nitration prevents intratumoral infiltration of antigen-specific T cells. *J. Exp. Med.* 208, 1949–1962.
- Motz, G.T., and Coukos, G. (2011). The parallel lives of angiogenesis and immunosuppression: cancer and other tales. *Nat. Rev. Immunol.* 11, 702–711.
- Mulligan, J.K., and Young, M.R. (2010). Tumors induce the formation of suppressor endothelial cells in vivo. *Cancer Immunol. Immunother.* 59, 267–277.
- Mulligan, J.K., Day, T.A., Gillespie, M.B., Rosenzweig, S.A., and Young, M.R. (2009). Secretion of vascular endothelial growth factor by oral squamous cell carcinoma cells skews endothelial cells to suppress T-cell functions. *Hum. Immunol.* 70, 375–382.
- Munn, D.H., and Mellor, A.L. (2004). IDO and tolerance to tumors. *Trends Mol. Med.* 10, 15–18.
- Murdoch, C., Muthana, M., Coffelt, S.B., and Lewis, C.E. (2008). The role of myeloid cells in the promotion of tumour angiogenesis. *Nat. Rev. Cancer* 8, 618–631.
- Muthuswamy, R., Mueller-Berghaus, J., Haberkorn, U., Reinhart, T.A., Schandorf, D., and Kalinski, P. (2010). PGE(2) transiently enhances DC expression of CCR7 but inhibits the ability of DCs to produce CCL19 and attract naive T cells. *Blood* 116, 1454–1459.
- Muthuswamy, R., Berk, E., Junecko, B.F., Zeh, H.J., Zureikat, A.H., Normolle, D., Luong, T.M., Reinhart, T.A., Bartlett, D.L., and Kalinski, P. (2012). NF- $\kappa$ B hyperactivation in tumor tissues allows tumor-selective reprogramming of the chemokine microenvironment to enhance the recruitment of cytolytic T effector cells. *Cancer Res.* 72, 3735–3743.
- Nair, S., Boczkowski, D., Moeller, B., Dewhirst, M., Vieweg, J., and Gilboa, E. (2003). Synergy between tumor immunotherapy and antiangiogenic therapy. *Blood* 102, 964–971.
- Naito, Y., Saito, K., Shiiba, K., Ohuchi, A., Saigenji, K., Nagura, H., and Ohtani, H. (1998). CD8+ T cells infiltrated within cancer cell nests as a prognostic factor in human colorectal cancer. *Cancer Res.* 58, 3491–3494.
- Neller, M.A., López, J.A., and Schmidt, C.W. (2008). Antigens for cancer immunotherapy. *Semin. Immunol.* 20, 286–295.
- Nelson, J., Bagnato, A., Battistini, B., and Nisen, P. (2003). The endothelin axis: emerging role in cancer. *Nat. Rev. Cancer* 3, 110–116.
- Network, C.G.A.; Cancer Genome Atlas Network. (2012). Comprehensive molecular portraits of human breast tumours. *Nature* 490, 61–70.
- Osada, T., Chong, G., Tansik, R., Hong, T., Spector, N., Kumar, R., Hurwitz, H.I., Dev, I., Nixon, A.B., Lyerly, H.K., et al. (2008). The effect of anti-VEGF therapy on immature myeloid cell and dendritic cells in cancer patients. *Cancer Immunol. Immunother.* 57, 1115–1124.
- Palazón, A., Aragonés, J., Morales-Kastresana, A., de Landázuri, M.O., and Melero, I. (2012). Molecular pathways: hypoxia response in immune cells fighting or promoting cancer. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 18, 1207–1213.
- Pandiyan, P., Zheng, L., Ishihara, S., Reed, J., and Lenardo, M.J. (2007). CD4+CD25+Foxp3+ regulatory T cells induce cytokine deprivation-mediated apoptosis of effector CD4+ T cells. *Nat. Immunol.* 8, 1353–1362.
- Piersma, S.J., Jordanova, E.S., van Poelgeest, M.I., Kwappenberg, K.M., van der Hulst, J.M., Drijfhout, J.W., Melief, C.J., Kenter, G.G., Fleuren, G.J., Offringa, R., and van der Burg, S.H. (2007). High number of intraepithelial CD8+ tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes is associated with the absence of lymph node metastases in patients with large early-stage cervical cancer. *Cancer Res.* 67, 354–361.
- Pirtskhalaishvili, G., and Nelson, J.B. (2000). Endothelium-derived factors as paracrine mediators of prostate cancer progression. *Prostate* 44, 77–87.
- Pivarcsi, A., Müller, A., Hippe, A., Rieker, J., van Lierop, A., Steinhoff, M., Seeliger, S., Kubitz, R., Pippirs, U., Meller, S., et al. (2007). Tumor immune escape by the loss of homeostatic chemokine expression. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 104, 19055–19060.
- Proost, P., Mortier, A., Loos, T., Vandercappellen, J., Gouwy, M., Ronsse, I., Schutysse, E., Put, W., Parmentier, M., Struyf, S., and Van Damme, J. (2007). Proteolytic processing of CXCL11 by CD13/aminopeptidase N impairs CXCR3 and CXCR7 binding and signaling and reduces lymphocyte and endothelial cell migration. *Blood* 110, 37–44.
- Propper, D.J., Chao, D., Braybrooke, J.P., Bahl, P., Thavasu, P., Balkwill, F., Turley, H., Dobbs, N., Gatter, K., Talbot, D.C., et al. (2003). Low-dose IFN-gamma induces tumor MHC expression in metastatic malignant melanoma. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 9, 84–92.
- Ren, X., Ye, F., Jiang, Z., Chu, Y., Xiong, S., and Wang, Y. (2007). Involvement of cellular death in TRAIL/DR5-dependent suppression induced by CD4(+) CD25(+) regulatory T cells. *Cell Death Differ.* 14, 2076–2084.
- Riesenberg, R., Weiler, C., Spring, O., Eder, M., Buchner, A., Popp, T., Castro, M., Kammerer, R., Takikawa, O., Hatz, R.A., et al. (2007). Expression of indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase in tumor endothelial cells correlates with long-term survival of patients with renal cell carcinoma. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 13, 6993–7002.
- Riley, J.L. (2009). PD-1 signaling in primary T cells. *Immunol. Rev.* 229, 114–125.
- Robbins, P.F., Lu, Y.C., El-Gamil, M., Li, Y.F., Gross, C., Gartner, J., Lin, J.C., Teer, J.K., Clifton, P., Tycksen, E., et al. (2013). Mining exomic sequencing data to identify mutated antigens recognized by adoptively transferred tumor-reactive T cells. *Nat. Med.* 19, 747–752.
- Robert, C., Thomas, L., Bondarenko, I., O'Day, S., M.D., J.W., Garbe, C., Lebbe, C., Baurain, J.F., Testori, A., Grob, J.J., et al. (2011). Ipilimumab plus dacarbazine for previously untreated metastatic melanoma. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 364, 2517–2526.
- Rodgers, J.R., and Cook, R.G. (2005). MHC class Ib molecules bridge innate and acquired immunity. *Nat. Rev. Immunol.* 5, 459–471.
- Rodig, N., Ryan, T., Allen, J.A., Pang, H., Grabie, N., Chernova, T., Greenfield, E.A., Liang, S.C., Sharpe, A.H., Lichtman, A.H., and Freeman, G.J. (2003). Endothelial expression of PD-L1 and PD-L2 down-regulates CD8+ T cell activation and cytotoxicity. *Eur. J. Immunol.* 33, 3117–3126.
- Roland, C.L., Lynn, K.D., Toombs, J.E., Dineen, S.P., Udugamasooriya, D.G., and Brekken, R.A. (2009). Cytokine levels correlate with immune cell infiltration after anti-VEGF therapy in preclinical mouse models of breast cancer. *PLoS ONE* 4, e7669.
- Rosanò, L., Spinella, F., Salani, D., Di Castro, V., Venuti, A., Nicotra, M.R., Natali, P.G., and Bagnato, A. (2003). Therapeutic targeting of the endothelin receptor in human ovarian carcinoma. *Cancer Res.* 63, 2447–2453.



- Saida, K., Mitsui, Y., and Ishida, N. (1989). A novel peptide, vasoactive intestinal contractor, of a new (endothelin) peptide family. Molecular cloning, expression, and biological activity. *J. Biol. Chem.* 264, 14613–14616.
- Sainz-Perez, A., Lim, A., Lemerrier, B., and Leclerc, C. (2012). The T-cell receptor repertoire of tumor-infiltrating regulatory T lymphocytes is skewed toward public sequences. *Cancer Res.* 72, 3557–3569.
- Salani, D., Taraboletti, G., Rosanò, L., Di Castro, V., Borsotti, P., Giavazzi, R., and Bagnato, A. (2000). Endothelin-1 induces an angiogenic phenotype in cultured endothelial cells and stimulates neovascularization in vivo. *Am. J. Pathol.* 157, 1703–1711.
- Sata, M., and Walsh, K. (1998). TNF $\alpha$  regulation of Fas ligand expression on the vascular endothelium modulates leukocyte extravasation. *Nat. Med.* 4, 415–420.
- Sawant, A., Hensel, J.A., Chanda, D., Harris, B.A., Siegal, G.P., Maheshwari, A., and Ponnazhagan, S. (2012). Depletion of plasmacytoid dendritic cells inhibits tumor growth and prevents bone metastasis of breast cancer cells. *J. Immunol.* 189, 4258–4265.
- Schlienger, K., Chu, C.S., Woo, E.Y., Rivers, P.M., Toll, A.J., Hudson, B., Maus, M.V., Riley, J.L., Choi, Y., Coukos, G., et al. (2003). TRANCE- and CD40 ligand-matured dendritic cells reveal MHC class I-restricted T cells specific for autologous tumor in late-stage ovarian cancer patients. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 9, 1517–1527.
- Secchiero, P., and Zauli, G. (2008). The puzzling role of TRAIL in endothelial cell biology. *Arterioscler. Thromb. Vasc. Biol.* 28, e4, author reply e5–e6.
- Segal, N.H., Parsons, D.W., Peggs, K.S., Velculescu, V., Kinzler, K.W., Vogelstein, B., and Allison, J.P. (2008). Epitope landscape in breast and colorectal cancer. *Cancer Res.* 68, 889–892.
- Selby, M.J., Engelhardt, J.J., Quigley, M., Henning, K.A., Chen, T., Srinivasan, M., and Korman, A.J. (2013). Anti-CTLA-4 antibodies of IgG2a isotype enhance antitumor activity through reduction of intratumoral regulatory T cells. *Cancer Immunology Research.* . Published online April 7, 2013. DOI: 1158/2326-6066.
- Seo, N., Hayakawa, S., Takigawa, M., and Tokura, Y. (2001). Interleukin-10 expressed at early tumor sites induces subsequent generation of CD4(+) T-regulatory cells and systemic collapse of antitumor immunity. *Immunology* 103, 449–457.
- Shojaei, F., Wu, X., Zhong, C., Yu, L., Liang, X.H., Yao, J., Blanchard, D., Bais, C., Peale, F.V., van Bruggen, N., et al. (2007). Bv8 regulates myeloid-cell-dependent tumor angiogenesis. *Nature* 450, 825–831.
- Spinella, F., Rosanò, L., Di Castro, V., Natali, P.G., and Bagnato, A. (2002). Endothelin-1 induces vascular endothelial growth factor by increasing hypoxia-inducible factor-1 $\alpha$  in ovarian carcinoma cells. *J. Biol. Chem.* 277, 27850–27855.
- Spinella, F., Rosanò, L., Di Castro, V., Decandia, S., Nicotra, M.R., Natali, P.G., and Bagnato, A. (2007). Endothelin-1 and endothelin-3 promote invasive behavior via hypoxia-inducible factor-1 $\alpha$  in human melanoma cells. *Cancer Res.* 67, 1725–1734.
- Steinbrink, K., Jonuleit, H., Müller, G., Schuler, G., Knop, J., and Enk, A.H. (1999). Interleukin-10-treated human dendritic cells induce a melanoma-antigen-specific anergy in CD8(+) T cells resulting in a failure to lyse tumor cells. *Blood* 93, 1634–1642.
- Steinman, R.M., Turley, S., Mellman, I., and Inaba, K. (2000). The induction of tolerance by dendritic cells that have captured apoptotic cells. *J. Exp. Med.* 191, 411–416.
- Strauss, L., Bergmann, C., Szczepanski, M., Gooding, W., Johnson, J.T., and Whiteside, T.L. (2007). A unique subset of CD4+CD25highFoxp3+ T cells secreting interleukin-10 and transforming growth factor- $\beta$ 1 mediates suppression in the tumor microenvironment. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 13, 4345–4354.
- Sutmoller, R.P., van Duivenvoorde, L.M., van Elsas, A., Schumacher, T.N., Wildenberg, M.E., Allison, J.P., Toes, R.E., Offringa, R., and Melief, C.J. (2001). Synergism of cytotoxic T lymphocyte-associated antigen 4 blockade and depletion of CD25(+) regulatory T cells in antitumor therapy reveals alternative pathways for suppression of autoreactive cytotoxic T lymphocyte responses. *J. Exp. Med.* 194, 823–832.
- Takahashi, A., Kono, K., Ichihara, F., Sugai, H., Fujii, H., and Matsumoto, Y. (2004). Vascular endothelial growth factor inhibits maturation of dendritic cells induced by lipopolysaccharide, but not by proinflammatory cytokines. *Cancer Immunol. Immunother.* 53, 543–550.
- Topalian, S.L., Hodi, F.S., Brahmer, J.R., Gettinger, S.N., Smith, D.C., McDermott, D.F., Powderly, J.D., Carvajal, R.D., Sosman, J.A., Atkins, M.B., et al. (2012). Safety, activity, and immune correlates of anti-PD-1 antibody in cancer. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 366, 2443–2454.
- Topp, M.S., Kufer, P., Gökbuget, N., Goebeler, M., Klinger, M., Neumann, S., Horst, H.A., Raff, T., Viardot, A., Schmid, M., et al. (2011). Targeted therapy with the T-cell-engaging antibody blinatumomab of chemotherapy-refractory minimal residual disease in B-lineage acute lymphoblastic leukemia patients results in high response rate and prolonged leukemia-free survival. *J. Clin. Oncol.* 29, 2493–2498.
- Valzasina, B., Piconese, S., Guiducci, C., and Colombo, M.P. (2006). Tumor-induced expansion of regulatory T cells by conversion of CD4+CD25- lymphocytes is thymus and proliferation independent. *Cancer Res.* 66, 4488–4495.
- van Elsas, A., Sutmoller, R.P., Hurwitz, A.A., Ziskin, J., Villaseñor, J., Medema, J.P., Overwijk, W.W., Restifo, N.P., Melief, C.J., Offringa, R., and Allison, J.P. (2001). Elucidating the autoimmune and antitumor effector mechanisms of a treatment based on cytotoxic T lymphocyte antigen-4 blockade in combination with a B16 melanoma vaccine: comparison of prophylaxis and therapy. *J. Exp. Med.* 194, 481–489.
- Vignali, D.A., Collison, L.W., and Workman, C.J. (2008). How regulatory T cells work. *Nat. Rev. Immunol.* 8, 523–532.
- Vogelstein, B., Papadopoulos, N., Velculescu, V.E., Zhou, S., Diaz, L.A., Jr., and Kinzler, K.W. (2013). Cancer genome landscapes. *Science* 339, 1546–1558.
- Watchmaker, P.B., Berk, E., Muthuswamy, R., Mailliard, R.B., Urban, J.A., Kirkwood, J.M., and Kalinski, P. (2010). Independent regulation of chemokine responsiveness and cytolytic function versus CD8+ T cell expansion by dendritic cells. *J. Immunol.* 184, 591–597.
- Waterhouse, P., Penninger, J.M., Timms, E., Wakeham, A., Shahinian, A., Lee, K.P., Thompson, C.B., Griesser, H., and Mak, T.W. (1995). Lymphoproliferative disorders with early lethality in mice deficient in Ctla-4. *Science* 270, 985–988.
- Whiteside, T.L. (2002). Tumor-induced death of immune cells: its mechanisms and consequences. *Semin. Cancer Biol.* 12, 43–50.
- Whiteside, T.L. (2006). Immune suppression in cancer: effects on immune cells, mechanisms and future therapeutic intervention. *Semin. Cancer Biol.* 16, 3–15.
- Yanagisawa, M., and Masaki, T. (1989). Molecular biology and biochemistry of the endothelins. *Trends Pharmacol. Sci.* 10, 374–378.
- Yang, L., DeBusk, L.M., Fukuda, K., Fingleton, B., Green-Jarvis, B., Shyr, Y., Matrisian, L.M., Carbone, D.P., and Lin, P.C. (2004). Expansion of myeloid immune suppressor Gr+CD11b+ cells in tumor-bearing host directly promotes tumor angiogenesis. *Cancer Cell* 6, 409–421.
- Zang, X., Sullivan, P.S., Soslow, R.A., Waitz, R., Reuter, V.E., Wilton, A., Thaler, H.T., Arul, M., Slovin, S.F., Wei, J., et al. (2010). Tumor associated endothelial expression of B7-H3 predicts survival in ovarian carcinomas. *Mod. Pathol.* 23, 1104–1112.
- Zarek, P.E., Huang, C.T., Lutz, E.R., Kowalski, J., Horton, M.R., Linden, J., Drake, C.G., and Powell, J.D. (2008). A2A receptor signaling promotes peripheral tolerance by inducing T-cell anergy and the generation of adaptive regulatory T cells. *Blood* 111, 251–259.
- Zhang, L., Conejo-Garcia, J.R., Katsaros, D., Gimotty, P.A., Massobrio, M., Regnani, G., Makrigiannakis, A., Gray, H., Schlienger, K., Liebman, M.N., et al. (2003). Intratumoral T cells, recurrence, and survival in epithelial ovarian cancer. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 348, 203–213.
- Zitvogel, L., and Kroemer, G. (2009). Anticancer immunochemotherapy using adjuvants with direct cytotoxic effects. *J. Clin. Invest.* 119, 2127–2130.
- Zitvogel, L., Tesniere, A., and Kroemer, G. (2006). Cancer despite immunosurveillance: immunoselection and immunosubversion. *Nat. Rev. Immunol.* 6, 715–727.
- Zou, W. (2005). Immunosuppressive networks in the tumor environment and their therapeutic relevance. *Nat. Rev. Cancer* 5, 263–274.